arXiv:quant-ph/9902002v1 1 Feb 1999

Squeezing spectra of the output field for high density exciton laser

Liu Yu-Xi[a,b*], Cao Chang-Qi [b]

[a] *Department of Physics, Peking University , Beijing 100871 China*

[b] *Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, P.O.Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China*

Abstract

˘The effect of the non-linear interaction between the high density Wannier excitons is analysed. We use the Fokker-Planck equation in the positive P presentation and the corresponding stochastic differential equation to study the composite system of a single mode cavity field and exciton under classical field pumping. The small fluctuation approximation is made to get the quadrature squeezing spectra of the output light field. The conditions for the squeezing of the either quadrature component of the output light are given.

PACS number(s): 71.35-y, 42.50 Fx

Typeset using REVTEX

---

[*] email: liuyx@itp.ac.cn

1.Introduction

Recently, the excitons in semiconductor have been the subject of the intensive theoretical and the experimental investigation. One of reasons is its technological impact on quantum electronics and photonics. Especially, people have studied some optical properties of the excitons in the confined quantum system , such as the quantum dot, the quantum wires, and the quantum well [1–8].

It is well known that if the emitted light field is in squeezing state, the fluctuation of one of its quadrature phase components will be reduced at the expense of an enlargement of the fluctuation in the conjugate partner. The quadrature phase component with reduced fluctuation could be applied to the low noise optical communications and the ultra-precise measurements. Many efforts have been dedicated to generate the squeezing state of the light field both theoretically and experimentally [9–15] .

In this paper, the emission of the high density excitons in quantum well under classical pump field is studied. We assume the quantum well is placed in the microcavity. The excitons interact only with a single mode of the cavity field. The reservoirs for both the excitons and cavity field have been taken into account. The stationary analysis of the system have been carried out by using the Fokker-Planck equation. The small fluctuations approximation around stationary state is used to studying the quadrature squeezing properties of the output light field.

2. Model and master equation

We know when the density of the excitons become higher, the ideal bosonic model of the exciton is no longer adequate (In the case of GaAs Quantum well, the ideal bosonic model become inadequate when the density of the excitons exceeds $1.3 \times 10^9 \text{cm}^{-2}$ [16]). One way of dealing with these deviations is to introduce an effective interaction between the hypothetical ideal bosons. We consider the GaAs quantum well is placed in a microcavity, and the excitons in the quantum well are pumped by the classical light with the frequency ω_b. Under rotating wave approximation, we have the Hamiltonian as following:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{R_1} + \hat{H}_{R_2} + \hbar\hat{a}\Gamma_1^+ + \hbar\hat{a}^+\Gamma_1 + \hbar\hat{b}\Gamma_2^+ + \hbar\hat{b}^+\Gamma_2, \tag{1}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_0 &= \hbar\omega_c\hat{a}^+\hat{a} + \hbar\omega_b\hat{b}^+\hat{b} + \hbar G\hat{b}^+\hat{b}^+\hat{b}\hat{b} \\ &\quad + i\hbar g(\hat{a}^+\hat{b} - \hat{a}\hat{b}^+) + i\hbar(E\hat{b}^+e^{-i\omega_b t} - E^*\hat{b}e^{i\omega_b t}),\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

ω_c and ω_b are frequencies of the cavity field and the excitons respectively, g is the coupling constant of the excitons and the cavity field, we assume it is a real number. The fifth term of the equation (2) is the pumping term. The pumping field is taken as a classical driving field. E is in proportion to its amplitude. $\hat{a}^+(\hat{a})$ is creation (annihilation) operator of the cavity field. $\hat{b}^+(\hat{b})$ is creation (annihilation) operator of the exciton. The exciton and photon modes are coupled respectively to their reservoirs with continuum modes which lead to dissipation. $\hat{H}_{R_1}$ and $\hat{H}_{R_2}$ are the free reservoir Hamiltonians. The reservoir coupling may be considered as phonon scattering process in the case of exciton. But it is a simple single photon absorbing process for the cavity field. $\Gamma_2^+(\Gamma_2)$ and $\Gamma_1^+(\Gamma_1)$ are the reservoir operators of the exciton and the cavity field with coupling constants included respectively. G is the coupling constant of the effective exciton-exciton interaction, it is a positive real number.

The time evolution of the exciton and the cavity field system is described by the master equation of the reduced density operator. In Schrodinger picture , the master equation, which is based on the Born-Markoff approximation, is written as following:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\hat{\rho}}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{H}_0, \hat{\rho}] + \gamma_1(2\hat{a}\hat{\rho}\hat{a}^+ - \hat{\rho}\hat{a}^+\hat{a} - \hat{a}^+\hat{a}\hat{\rho}) \\ &\quad + \gamma_2(2\hat{b}\hat{\rho}\hat{b}^+ - \hat{\rho}\hat{b}^+\hat{b} - \hat{b}^+\hat{b}\hat{\rho}),\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are dissipative rates of the cavity field and the exciton.

3. Classical equation and small fluctuation analysis

By using two modes positive P representation [17], we can convert the operator equation (3) into c-number Fokker-Planck equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial P(\alpha)}{\partial t} &= \{\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1}(\gamma_1\alpha_1 - g\alpha_2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1^+}(\gamma_1\alpha_1^+ - g\alpha_2^+) \\
&+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2}(\gamma_2\alpha_2 - E + i2G\alpha_2^+\alpha_2^2 + g\alpha_1) \\
&+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_2^+}(\gamma_2\alpha_2^+ - E^* + i2G\alpha_2\alpha_2^{+2} + g\alpha_1^+) \\
&- i\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_2^2}G\alpha_2^2 + i\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_2^{+2}}G\alpha_2^{+2}\}P(\alpha), \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_1^+ \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2^+ \end{pmatrix}$, α_1 is eigenvalue for the coherent state of the light field and α_2 is eigenvalue for the coherent state of the exciton. We have transformed to the rotating frames of the cavity field and exciton by:

$$
\begin{cases}
\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_1 e^{-i\omega_c t} \\
\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2 e^{-i\omega_b t} \\
\alpha_1^+ \rightarrow \alpha_1^+ e^{i\omega_c t} \\
\alpha_2^+ \rightarrow \alpha_2^+ e^{i\omega_b t}
\end{cases} \qquad (5)
$$

In terms of the positive P representation, $\alpha_1(\alpha_2)$ and $\alpha_1^+(\alpha_2^+)$ are not complex conjugate to each other. The above Fokker-Planck equation (4) has a positive semi-definite diffusion matrix leading a equivalent stochastic differential equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_1^+ \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2^+ \end{pmatrix} &= -\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1\alpha_1 - g\alpha_2 \\ \gamma_1\alpha_1^+ - g\alpha_2^+ \\ \gamma_2\alpha_2 - E + i2G\alpha_2^+\alpha_2^2 + g\alpha_1 \\ \gamma_2\alpha_2^+ - E^* - i2G\alpha_2\alpha_2^{+2} + g\alpha_1^+ \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i2G\alpha_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i2G\alpha_2^{+2} \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1(t) \\ \eta_1^+(t) \\ \eta_2(t) \\ \eta_2^+(t) \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\eta_i(t)$ are stochastic fluctuation forces with zero means and satisfy the delta correlated function:

$$\begin{cases} <\eta_i(t)> & = 0 \\ <\eta_i^+(t)\eta_j(t')> & = 0 \\ <\eta_i(t)\eta_j(t')> & = \delta_{ij}\delta(t-t') \\ <\eta_i^+(t)\eta_j^+(t')> & = \delta_{ij}\delta(t-t') \end{cases} . \tag{7}$$

The exactly solutions of the non-linear equation (6) are still difficult to be obtained. Here we will use the small fluctuation approximation around the stationary state to reduce the non-linear equation (6) to a linear equation for the fluctuations. A preliminary question is whether the stationary state of the system is stable against to small perturbation. To this end, we need neglect the fluctuation fores and first derive the stationary solution. Without the fluctuation force, equation (6) becomes:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_1 = -\gamma_1\alpha_1 + g\alpha_2, \tag{8}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_1^+ = -\gamma_1\alpha_1^+ + g\alpha_2^+, \tag{9}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_2 = -\gamma_2\alpha_2 + E - i2G\alpha_2^+\alpha_2^2 - g\alpha_1, \tag{10}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_2^+ = -\gamma_2\alpha_2^+ + E^* + i2G\alpha_2\alpha_2^{+2} - g\alpha_1^+. \tag{11}$$

Now $\alpha_1(\alpha_2)$ and α_1^+ (α_2^+) are complex conjugate as can be seen from the equation themselves. The stationary solutions α_i^0 (α_i^{+0}) and α_i^0 (α_i^{+0}) are determined by:

$$-\gamma_1\alpha_1^0 + g\alpha_2^0 = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$-\gamma_1\alpha_1^{+0} + g\alpha_2^{+0} = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$-\gamma_2\alpha_2^0 + E - i2G\alpha_2^{+0}\alpha_2^{02} - g\alpha_1^0 = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$-\gamma_2\alpha_2^{+0} + E^* + i2G\alpha_2^0\alpha_2^{+02} - g\alpha_1^{+0} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Then we consider the case that the system deviates somewhat from its stationary state, setting:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 = \alpha_1^0 + \delta\alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 = \alpha_2^0 + \delta\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1^+ = \alpha_1^{+0} + \delta\alpha_1^+ \\ \alpha_2^+ = \alpha_2^{+0} + \delta\alpha_2^+ \end{array}\right. . \tag{16}$$

Substitute the equation (16) into the equations (8-11) and only keep the fluctuations in the first order, we get the linear motion equations for $\delta\alpha_i$ and $\delta\alpha_i^+$ as following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta\alpha = -A\delta\alpha, \tag{17}$$

Where, $n_2 = \alpha_2^{+0}\alpha_2^0$, $\delta\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \delta\alpha_1 \\ \delta\alpha_1^+ \\ \delta\alpha_2 \\ \delta\alpha_2^+ \end{pmatrix}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 & -g & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_1 & 0 & -g \\ g & 0 & \gamma_2 + i4Gn_2 & i2G\alpha_2^{02} \\ 0 & g & -i2G\alpha_2^{+02} & \gamma_2 - i4Gn_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Now we seek for the solutions of the equation (17) of the form $e^{\lambda t}$. The eigenvalue λ can be obtained from the equation

$$|A - \lambda I| = 0. \tag{18}$$

in which I is identity matrix. The eigenvalue equation deduced from the equation (18) is

$$[(\gamma_1 - \lambda)(\gamma_2 - \lambda) + g^2]^2 + 12G^2n_2^2(\gamma_1 - \lambda)^2 = 0. \tag{19}$$

The eigenvalues are :

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}\{[\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + i2\sqrt{3}Gn_2] \pm \sqrt{(-\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + i2\sqrt{3}Gn_2)^2 - 4g^2}\}, \tag{20}$$

$$\lambda_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2}\{[\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - i2\sqrt{3}Gn_2] \pm \sqrt{(-\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - i2\sqrt{3}Gn_2)^2 - 4g^2}\}. \tag{21}$$

When all the four eigenvalues have the positive real parts, the stationary state $(\alpha_i^0, \alpha_i^{+0})$ is stable. That is, when any small deviation develops from α_i^0 and α_i^{+0}, α_i and α_i^+ will return to α_i^0 and α_i^{+0}. If the values of parameters (G, g γ_1, γ_2) are in the range to make the absolution value of the real part of $\sqrt{(-\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \pm i2\sqrt{3}Gn_2)^2 - 4g^2}$ larger than $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$, then $\alpha_i^0(\alpha_i^{+0})$ are stable solutions. Now we consider the case of the stationary atate. we have linear equations for $\delta\alpha_i$ and $\delta\alpha_i^+$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix}\delta\alpha_1\\ \delta\alpha_1^+\\ \delta\alpha_2\\ \delta\alpha_2^+\end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix}\gamma_1 & 0 & -\Omega_0 & 0\\ 0 & \gamma_1 & 0 & -\Omega_0\\ \Omega_0 & 0 & \gamma_2 + i4G\alpha_2^0\alpha_2^{+0} & i2G\alpha_1^{02}\\ 0 & \Omega_0 & -i2G\alpha_1^{+02} & \gamma_2 - i4G\alpha_2^0\alpha_2^{+0}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\delta\alpha_1\\ \delta\alpha_1^+\\ \delta\alpha_2\\ \delta\alpha_2^+\end{pmatrix}$$

$$+\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -i2G\alpha_2^{02} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i2G\alpha_2^{+02}\end{pmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\eta_1(t)\\ \eta_1^+(t)\\ \eta_2(t)\\ \eta_2^+(t)\end{pmatrix}. \qquad (22)$$

We abbreviate this equation as following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta\vec{\alpha}(t) = -A\vec{\alpha}(t) + D^{\frac{1}{2}}\vec{\eta}(t), \qquad (23)$$

and will discuss the squeezing properties of the output field based on it in the next section.

4.Quadrature component squeezing of the output field

The fluctuation spectra of the cavity-field in the stationary state are the Fourier transform of the correlation functions $<\alpha_i(t+\tau), \alpha_j(t)>$ in the positive P representation:

$$S_{ij}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\omega\tau} < \alpha_i(t+\tau), \alpha_j(t) > \mathrm{d}\tau, \qquad (24)$$

where $<\alpha_i(t+\tau), \alpha_j(t)> = <\alpha_i(t+\tau)\alpha_j(t)> - <\alpha_i(t+\tau)><\alpha_j(t)>$. Substituting the equation (16) into the equation (24), we have:

$$S_{ij}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\omega\tau} < \delta\alpha_i(t+\tau)\delta\alpha_j(t) > \mathrm{d}\tau. \qquad (25)$$

The fluctuation spectra will be obtained [18] as following:

$$S(\omega) = (A + i\omega I)^{-1}D(A^T - i\omega I)^{-1}, \qquad (26)$$

where I is the identity matrix and T stands for transpose. A and D are defined by equation(23). After somewhat tedious calculation, the fluctuation spectrum of the cavity field will be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{11}(\omega) &= -i\frac{2G\alpha_2^{02}g^2}{\Lambda}\{4G^2n_2^2(\gamma_1^2+\omega^2)+[g^2+(\gamma_1+i\omega)(\gamma_2+i\omega-i4Gn_2)] \\ &\qquad \times[g^2+(\gamma_1-i\omega)(\gamma_2-i\omega-i4Gn_2)]\}, \qquad (27) \\ S_{12}(\omega) &= S_{21}(\omega)=\frac{8G^2g^2n_2^2}{\Lambda}[g^2\gamma_1+\gamma_1^2\gamma_2+\omega^2\gamma_2], \qquad (28) \\ S_{22}(\omega) &= i\frac{2G\alpha_2^{+02}g^2}{\Lambda}\{4G^2n_2^2(\gamma_1^2+\omega^2)+[g^2+(\gamma_1+i\omega)(\gamma_2+i\omega+i4Gn_2)] \\ &\qquad \times[g^2+(\gamma_1-i\omega)(\gamma_2-i\omega+i4Gn_2)]\}, \qquad (29) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda=|[(\gamma_1+i\omega)(\gamma_2+i\omega)+g^2]^2+12G^2n_2^2(\gamma_1+i\omega)^2|^2. \qquad (30)$$

The creation and annihilation operators for output field $\hat{a}_{out}(t)$ and $\hat{a}^+_{out}(t)$ will be presented by two quadrature phase components$\hat{X}_\pm$ as following:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{a}_{out}(t)=\frac{1}{2}[\hat{X}^{out}_+(t)+i\hat{X}^{out}_-(t)]e^{i(\theta-\Omega t)} \\ \hat{a}^+_{out}(t)=\frac{1}{2}[\hat{X}^{out}_+(t)-i\hat{X}^{out}_-(t)]e^{-i(\theta-\Omega t)} \end{cases} \qquad (31)$$

Ω is the frequency of the cavity field and θ is a reference phase. The normally-ordered squeezing spectrum for each quadrature phase component of the output field may be expressed by the fluctuation spectra of the cavity fielde [18]:

$$:S^{out}_+(\omega):\;=2\gamma_1[e^{-2i\theta}S_{11}(\omega)+e^{2i\theta}S_{22}(\omega)+S_{21}(\omega)+S_{12}(\omega)], \qquad (32)$$

$$:S^{out}_-(\omega):\;=2\gamma_1[-e^{-2i\theta}S_{11}(\omega)-e^{2i\theta}S_{22}(\omega)+S_{21}(\omega)+S_{12}(\omega)]. \qquad (33)$$

If we choose the phase

$$\theta=\theta_1-\frac{\pi}{4}, \qquad (34)$$

where θ_1 is the phase of the coherent state of the light field. The normal squeezing spectra of the quadrature components$\hat{X}_\pm$ for the output field are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
:S_+^{\text{out}}(\omega): \; &= \frac{8Gg^2\gamma_1}{\Lambda}[(g^2+\gamma_1\gamma_2)^2+\omega^4+2Gn_2^2(g^2\gamma_1+\gamma_1^2\gamma_2) \\
&+(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2-2g^2-12G^2n_2^2+2G\gamma_2n_2^2)\omega^2-12G^2n_2^2\gamma_1^2], \qquad (35)\\
:S_-^{\text{out}}(\omega): \; &= \frac{8Gg^2\gamma_1}{\Lambda}[-(g^2+\gamma_1\gamma_2)^2-\omega^4+2Gn_2^2(g^2\gamma_1+\gamma_1^2\gamma_2) \\
&-(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2-2g^2-12G^2n_2^2-2G\gamma_2n_2^2)\omega^2+12G^2n_2^2\gamma_1^2]. \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

The most interesting part is the low frequency part. At $\omega = 0$, the corresponding values are : Now we consider the case of $\omega = 0$, it corresponds to the resonance output. That is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
:S_+^{\text{out}}(0): \; &= \frac{8G\gamma_1g^2}{\Lambda_0}[(g^2+\gamma_1\gamma_2)^2+2Gn_2^2(g^2\gamma_1+\gamma_1^2\gamma_2)-12G^2n_2^2\gamma_1^2], \qquad (37)\\
:S_-^{\text{out}}(0): \; &= \frac{8G\gamma_1g^2}{\Lambda_0}[-(g^2+\gamma_1\gamma_2)^2+2Gn_2^2(g^2\gamma_1+\gamma_1^2\gamma_2)+12G^2n_2^2\gamma_1^2], \qquad (38)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which Λ_0 denotes $\Lambda(\omega = 0)$. In the case

$$
(g^2+\gamma_1\gamma_2)^2+2Gn_2^2(g^2\gamma_1+\gamma_1^2\gamma_2) < 12G^2n_2^2\gamma_1^2, \qquad (39)
$$

the $\hat{X}_+$ component will be squeezed at $\omega = 0$. If if

$$
2Gn_2^2(g^2\gamma_1+\gamma_1^2\gamma_2)+12G^2n_2^2\gamma_1^2 < (g^2+\gamma_1\gamma_2)^2, \qquad (40)
$$

the $\hat{X}_-$ component will be squeezed at $\omega = 0$. It deserves to be mentioned that when $G = 0$, namely the interaction between the excitons can be neglected, the fluctuation spectra of the output field are zero. This means that in this case the output field is a coherent light field as expected.

5. Conclusion

The quantum statistical properties of the field emitted by the high density exciton laser have been discussed. The conditions for the stability of the stationary state also have been given. The small fluctuation approximation around the stationary state is made to deduce the fluctuation spectra. We show that either the output qudrature phase components could be squeezed under certain conditions.

Acknowledgments

One of authors (Liu Yu-Xi) wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Sun Chang-Pu for help in his work. This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of china, Grant number 19677204.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Hanamura, Phys. Rev. B 38. 1228(1988)

[2] T. Tokihiro, Y. Manabe, E. Hanamura, Phys. Rev. B 47, 2019(1993)

[3] H. Z. Wang, X. G. Zheng, L. Zhao, Z. L. Gao, and Z. X. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4079(1995).

[4] Y. Manabe, T. Tokihiro, E. Hanamura, Phys. Rev. A 48, 2773(1993)

[5] T. Tokihiro,Y. Manabe, E. Hanamura, Phys. Rev. B 51, 7655(1995)

[6] V. M. Agranorich, D. A. Dubovskii, JETP Lett. 3, 223(1996)

[7] Cao Chang-Qi and Cao Hui, to be published.

[8] J. Knoster, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 654(1992)

[9] D. Stoler, Phys. Rev. D1, 3217(1970), Phys. Rev. D4, 1925(1971)

[10] H. P. Yuen, Phys. Rev. A13, 2226(1976), Phys. Lett. A51, 1(1975)

[11] C. M. Caves, Phys. Rev. D23, 1693(1981)

[12] H. P. Yuen, V. W. S. Chan, Opt. Lett. 8, 177(1983)

[13] L. B. Schumaher, Opt. Lett. 9, 189(1984)

[14] R. E. Slusher, L. W. Hollberg, B. Yurke, J. C. Mertz, J. F. Valley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2409(1985)

[15] L. A. Wu, H. J. Kimble, J. L. Hall, H. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett, 57, 2520(1986)

[16] S. Schmitt-Rink, D. S. Chemla, D. A. B. Miller, Phys. Rev. B32, 6601(1985)

[17] P. D. Drummond, C. W. Gardiner, J. Phys. A13, 2353(1980)

[18] D. F. Walls, G. J. Miburn, Quantum Optics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg(1994)